The Evaluation Advisory Committee (EAC) Report

Quality assessment of the evaluation

1
Year of report: 2022
a) The Evaluation Advisory Committee (EAC) rated this report as;
X Fully met or exceeded Gavi quality standards
Met Gavi quality standards with only minor shortcomings
Partially met Gavi quality standards with some shortcomings
Did not meet Gavi quality standards with major shortcomings
b) General comments:

Name of evaluation: Evaluation of Gavi's initial response to COVID-19

Strengths

The report is well written and well structured. It is accessible to the target users. The purpose, scope and objectives are well outlined. The evaluation design and methods are appropriate. Conclusions and recommendations are, for the most part, well supported by evidence and mostly in line with what was expected from conducting an evaluation within such a difficult context (COVID-19 pandemic, dynamic policy context).

Shortcomings

More attention could have been given to the very challenging context that prevailed at the onset of the pandemic both at the global level and within countries. There is no clear evidence in the report to the extent respondents from countries that did not request Gavi's support (R&P and or M&R&S) have been part of the KIIs. The evidence that led to the conclusion that activities have had a positive effect on health care workers is not clear.