

# VIPS Phase I executive summary: Oral fast dissolving tablets

June 2019

# Oral fast dissolving tablets

## About Oral fast dissolving tablets (FDTs)

- Fast dissolving tablets are freeze dried vaccine tablets that disintegrate rapidly in saliva.
- Oral FDTs are swallowed and rapidly disintegrate, delivering the vaccine to the gastrointestinal tract.



CIMA<sup>a</sup>

## Stage of development

- There are several pharmaceutical companies with drug products on the market using a similar technology for producing oral FDTs.
- Oral FDTs are in preclinical development for vaccines such as ETEC.



PATH

# Oral fast dissolving tablets scorecard

Comparators: Single dose vial (SDV) (lyophilised) + diluent + reuse prevention (RUP) reconstitution syringe and dropper; SDV (liquid) and autodisable (AD) needle and syringe (N&S)



Quality of evidence: Low to Moderate

VIPS Criteria		Indicators	Comparators		Priority indicators - Country consultation		
			SDV lyophilised + diluent + RUP + recon syringe and dropper	SDV liquid + autodisable N&S	RI* Facility	RI* Community	Campaigns
Primary criteria	Health impact	Ability of the vaccine presentation to withstand heat exposure	Neutral	Better	+	++	++
		Ability of the vaccine presentation to withstand freeze exposure	Neutral	Better			
	Coverage & Equity impact	Ease of use <sup>a</sup>	Better	Better	+	+	++
		Potential to reduce stock outs <sup>b</sup>	Better	Better			
		Acceptability of the vaccine presentation to patients/caregivers	Neutral	Considerably Better		+	+
	Safety impact	Likelihood of contamination	Better	Better			+
		Likelihood of needle stick injury	Better	Better			
		Economic costs	Total economic cost of storage and transportation of commodities per dose	Considerably Better	Considerably Better	+	
	Total economic cost of the time spent by staff per dose		Better	Better	++	++	+
	Total introduction and recurrent costs <sup>c</sup>		Neutral	Neutral			
Secondary criteria	Potential breadth of innovation use	Applicability of innovation to one or several types of vaccines	All vaccines against mucosal pathogens that be prepared in a dry format are potential candidates.				
		Ability of the technology to facilitate novel vaccine combination		Yes			

\* RI : Routine immunisation

++	Given significantly more importance
+	Given more importance
	Kept neutral

<sup>a</sup> Ease of use can prevent missed opportunities and impact ability for lesser trained personnel to administer the vaccine, including self-administration  
<sup>b</sup> Based on the number of separate components necessary to deliver the vaccine or improved ability to track vaccine commodities  
<sup>c</sup> Total economic cost of one-time / upfront purchases or investments required to introduce the innovation and of recurrent costs associated with the innovation (not otherwise accounted for)

# Oral fast dissolving tablets: Antigen applicability



- Oral fast dissolving tablets (FDT) **could be applied to vaccines that are intended for oral ingestion delivery.**
- All **vaccines against mucosal pathogens that can be lyophilised are potential candidates.**
- **Live vaccines against enteric pathogens are likely to be most suitable.**
- Non-live vaccines are likely to require a mucosal adjuvant, and none are approved at present.
- An oral FDT would be **particularly useful for ETEC vaccine** since the current presentation requires mixing of multiple components at the point of use.
- Oral FDT could also be **applied to oral live-attenuated rotavirus vaccine.**

# Oral fast dissolving tablets: Assessment outcomes



## KEY BENEFITS

- ++ May offer **improved heat stability and freeze resistance** over liquid vaccines given the dried format.
- **Potential positively impact on coverage and equity:**
  - ++ **Easy to use:** simplify preparation and delivery and may **reduce errors and improve dose control.**
    - Could **enable alternate delivery scenarios.**
    - May be **suitable for delivery by lesser-skilled health care workers.**
  - ++ Potential to **increase acceptability:** likely to be more acceptable due to the reduced pain of delivery.
    - Potential to **reduce stock-outs** since the innovation has a **single component to be procured, distributed, and tracked.**
- ++ May **improve safety** by reducing **risk of contamination** and **needlestick injuries.**
- **Potential to reduce overall delivery costs:**
  - ++ May **reduce storage and transportation costs** since FDTs are **extremely compact and eliminate the need to store and transport any components out of the cold chain.**
- ++ May **save health care worker time**, as easy to use.
- **May facilitate novel vaccine combination:** vaccines for enteric pathogens often have incompatible components that could be produced as separate FDTs and delivered as separate tablets or diluted and delivered at the point of use.

## KEY CHALLENGES

- **For infants and young children, FDTs need to be reconstituted** and administered with a liquid dropper/oral syringe to address the **potential risk of choking**, which negates some of the benefits for this age group.
- **Limited applicability** to vaccines against mucosal pathogens that can be lyophilised and live vaccines against enteric pathogens.
  - Applicability to **non-live vaccines is limited without the availability of a mucosal adjuvant.**
- ++ Important attribute for at least 2 settings or for the 3 settings based on the country consultation (see slide 3)
- ++ Important attribute for campaigns or routine facility-based immunisation based on country consultation (see slide 3)

# Oral fast dissolving tablets: Rationale for prioritisation



- Based on the analysis, oral FDTs are included in a **'maybe'** category for prioritisation and **the Steering Committee is requested to provide advice on whether this innovation should be prioritised or not for Phase II.**
- While the oral FDTs may yield high public health benefits, its **applicability to non-live vaccines is limited without the availability of a mucosal adjuvant and advancement of adjuvants** is outside of the purview of VIPS.

## Additional important information to be analysed in phase II (if prioritised for Phase II):

- Vaccine specific reviews of technical feasibility – especially for products requiring a mucosal adjuvant.
- Vaccine specific reviews of the public health value proposition – especially for products targeting younger age groups.