

VIPS Phase I executive summary: Dual chamber vials

June 2019

Dual chamber vials

About dual chamber vials

- Dual-chamber vials are **integrated primary containers with a reconstitution feature.**
- They **contain both liquid and dry vaccine components**, which are mixed together within the device prior to administration which requires a separate delivery device.

Stage of development

- Most dual-chamber technologies are at an **early stage of development.**
- **No vaccine products are currently approved for use** in dual-chamber vials, but some other pharmaceutical products are licensed in dual-chamber presentations, such as the Act-O-Vial which is used with Pfizer's Solu-Cortef and Solu-Medrol products (both glucocorticoids to treat allergic reactions and/or inflammation).
- Although some of these dual-chamber technologies **are available for market use, they would need to be approved with a specific antigen.**
- Preliminary research with some prototype devices has been carried out with vaccines.



PATH

Dual chamber vial (Pfizer Act-O-Vial)

Dual chamber vials scorecard

Comparator: Single dose vial (lyophilised) + diluent + reuse prevention (RUP) reconstitution needle and syringe (N&S)



Quality of evidence: Low to moderate

VIPS Criteria		Indicators	
Primary criteria	Health impact	Ability of the vaccine presentation to withstand heat exposure	Neutral
		Ability of the vaccine presentation to withstand freeze exposure	Neutral
	Coverage & Equity impact	Ease of use ^a	Better
		Potential to reduce stock outs ^b	Better
		Acceptability of the vaccine presentation to patients/caregivers	Better
	Safety impact	Likelihood of contamination	Better
		Likelihood of needle stick injury	Better
		Total economic cost of storage and transportation of commodities per dose	Mixed
	Economic costs	Total economic cost of the time spent by staff per dose	Better
		Total introduction and recurrent costs ^c	Neutral
Secondary criteria	Potential breadth of innovation use	Applicability of innovation to one or several types of vaccines	Dry or other two-component vaccines, independent of route of delivery.
		Ability of the technology to facilitate novel vaccine combination	No

Priority indicators - Country consultation		
RI* Facility	RI* Community	Campaigns
+	++	++
+	+	++
	+	+
		+
+		
++	++	+

* RI : Routine immunisation

++	Given significantly more importance
+	Given more importance
	Kept neutral

^a Ease of use can prevent missed opportunities and impact ability for lesser trained personnel to administer the vaccine, including self-administration
^b Based on the number of separate components necessary to deliver the vaccine or improved ability to track vaccine commodities
^c Total economic cost of one-time / upfront purchases or investments required to introduce the innovation and of recurrent costs associated with the innovation (not otherwise accounted for)

Dual chamber vials: Antigen applicability



- Dual chamber vials could be **applied to all dry vaccine presentations that require reconstitution with a diluent, or other two-component vaccines that require mixing.**
- Examples of VIPS priority antigens that could be suitable **include MR and yellow fever.**

Dual chamber vials: Assessment outcomes



KEY BENEFITS

- **Potential to positively impact coverage and equity:**
 - ++ May be **easier to use: simplify and reduce the number of steps** involved in reconstitution of lyophilised vaccines, improving ease of use by the vaccinator.
 - Reduce mismatching and/or misallocation of vaccine components during distribution, potentially **reducing** vaccine and diluent **wastage and stock-outs and simplifying inventory processes**.
 - ++ Potential to **increase acceptability: reduce the risk of reconstitution with the wrong diluent** which can lead to serious adverse events and have a negative impact on confidence in immunisation programs
- + **May improve safety:**
 - Potential to **reduce errors** such as using the incorrect volume or type of diluent and reduce the **risk of contamination**.
 - Potential to also **reduce needle stick injuries** by eliminating the need for a separate reconstitution syringe, reducing the number of sharps.
- ++ May **save health care worker time** since the time required for vaccine preparation and delivery is expected to be reduced.
- **Broad applicability** to dry and other two-component vaccines.

KEY CHALLENGES

- + **Increase packaging volume and cold chain storage and transportation costs**, since the diluent is stored in the cold chain with the vaccine.
 - However this may reduce the out of cold chain volume and associated costs.
- **Technical challenges need to be overcome** related to the mechanism of the reconstitution feature and maintaining the moisture barrier between components during storage.

- + + Important attribute for at least 2 settings or for the 3 settings based on the country consultation (see slide 3)
- + Important attribute for campaigns or routine facility-based immunisation based on country consultation (see slide 3)

Dual chamber vials: Rationale for prioritisation



- Dual chamber vials are **not recommended to be prioritised for further analysis under Phase II**. While they offer expected positive impacts on coverage and equity, safety, and economic cost of staff time, **their potential impact is not as great as that of dual chamber delivery devices** which we recommend to prioritise for further analysis under Phase II.
 - The dual-chamber delivery devices have a **built in vaccine delivery system** that offers **better dose control** as the vaccine product does not have to be withdrawn from a separate vial, whereas the dual chamber vials still uses a needle and syringe to withdraw the vaccine product. Removal of this additional step could **reduce programmatic errors during delivery process of the vaccine**.