The Evaluation Advisory Committee (EAC) Report

Quality assessment of the evaluation

Name of evaluation: Fragility, Emergencies, and Refugees (FER) Policy Evaluation
Year of report: 2021

a) The Evaluation Advisory Committee (EAC) rated this report as;

Fully met or exceeded Gavi quality standards

X Met Gavi quality standards with only minor shortcomings

Partially met Gavi quality standards with some shortcomings

Did not meet Gavi quality standards with major shortcomings

b) General comments:

Strengths

A good report overall. The document is well written and easily accessible, and the policy is clearly described. The executive summary provides a good synthesis of the report. The evaluation framework is well articulated. Annexes are well organized and clear. Findings are well presented, analysed, and interpreted systematically and logically against evaluation questions. The possible influence that a full representation across all stakeholders might have had on the findings is well acknowledged by the evaluation team. Recommendations and lessons learned are well stated and based on good evidence.

Shortcomings

While the policy is clearly described, it could be better contextualized. There is not much, in the document, on the broader context. Limitations on data collection especially accessing country level government representatives for key informant interviews are noted but it is not fully clear how those were mitigated and how evidence was triangulated. Retrofitting a Theory of Change (ToC) for the policy would have been useful in refining the analysis and helped clearly articulate the foreseeable implications of findings.